Energy
Technology
Derspectives
2020



Energy Technology Perspectives 2020



INTERNATIONAL ENERGY AGENCY

The IEA examines the full spectrum of energy issues including oil, gas and coal supply and demand, renewable energy technologies, electricity markets, energy efficiency, access to energy, demand side management and much more. Through its work, the IEA advocates policies that will enhance the reliability, affordability and sustainability of energy in its 30 member countries. 8 association countries and beyond.

Revised version, February 2021. Information notice found at: www.iea.org/ corrections

Please note that this publication is subject to specific restrictions that limit its use and distribution. The terms and conditions are available online at www.iea.org/t&c/

Source: IEA. All rights reserved. International Energy Agency Website: www.iea.org

IEA member countries:

Australia Austria Belgium Canada Czech Republic Denmark Estonia Finland France Germany Greece Hungary Ireland Italy Japan Korea Luxembourg Mexico Netherlands New Zealand Norway Poland Portugal Slovak Republic Spain Sweden Switzerland Turkey United Kingdom United States

The European Commission also participates in the work of the IEA

IEA association countries:

Brazil China India Indonesia Morocco Singapore South Africa Thailand



Foreword

Technology will largely determine our energy future. The International Energy Agency (IEA) has long been cognizant of this, which is why for more than a decade we have produced the *Energy Technologies Perspectives* (*ETP*) series to help inform policy makers as they plan for the sustainable and resilient energy systems that people and businesses will need in the years to come.

When I became Executive Director of the IEA in late 2015, it was clear to me that the ETP was in need of a revamp to increase its relevance for decision makers in government and industry. My objective was to prepare "a global guidebook on clean energy technologies" for policy makers and others seeking to navigate the fast-evolving technological developments across a wide range of energy-related sectors. With the publication of this report, *Energy Technologies Perspectives* 2020, I believe we have come close to reaching that objective.

This report is an essential contribution to the global conversation on energy. As the report's rigorous analysis makes clear, achieving international climate goals hinges on dramatically scaling up clean energy technologies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. And having those technologies ready in time hinges on a rapid acceleration in innovation. In the *ETP Special Report on Clean Energy Innovation* that was published in July, we examined the innovation element of that challenge. This report, *ETP-2020*, gives the full picture, analysing the major energy technology challenge the world faces and identifying the needs and opportunities that result from it.

Today, I am increasingly optimistic about the world's clean energy future, despite the grave challenges we face. *ETP-2020* shows that we know what needs to be done to develop and deploy the technologies that can put emissions on a sustainable path. The spectacular success of solar PV in becoming the cheapest source of power in many economies and the impressive rise of offshore wind demonstrate the ability of clean energy technologies to break through if governments put in place the right policies to support their expansion.

At the same time, more and more governments around the world are backing clean energy technologies as part of their economic recovery plans in response to the Covid-19 crisis – as was made clear by many of the 40 Ministers who attended the IEA Clean Energy Transitions Summit on 9 July 2020. The private sector is also upping its game, with some oil and gas majors betting their futures on becoming lowercarbon energy companies and top information technology companies putting increasing resources into renewables and energy storage. Moreover, investments in clean energy projects can benefit from the extended period of extremely low interest rates in some regions that appears likely following the massive easing of monetary policy by central banks in response to the Covid-19 crisis.

As the ETP analysis underscores, energy innovation will be crucial. Despite the disruption and uncertainty caused by the pandemic, I see reason for optimism there, too. Investment in clean energy start-ups by venture capital funds and companies rose to a new record in 2019. And governments and businesses are finally putting serious resources into the clean energy potential of hydrogen, which this report makes clear will be critical for reaching net-zero emissions.

However, my optimism should not be mistaken for naivety. Even if these encouraging trends continue, there are significant challenges to overcome. For instance, more work needs to go into mapping out pathways for fair and inclusive clean energy transitions for all parts of the world. Moreover, huge portions of the global energy sector are yet to make reducing their emissions a top priority.

The major challenge studied in depth in this report is how to tackle emissions from the vast amount of existing energy-related infrastructure around the world. The enormous fleets of inefficient coal plants, steel foundries, chemical facilities and cement factories – many of them recently built – are set to produce enough emissions in the coming decades to put international climate goals out of reach. But, as *ETP-2020* shows, we can develop the technologies to address this through smart policies and investments today.

The transformation of ETP has been three years in the making and has involved a tremendous amount of hard work from the team behind it. I would particularly like to thank Timur Gül for leading the overhaul of the series and his team for the research, modelling and writing that has produced these important reports. I look forward to many more ETP publications full of valuable insights and guidance in the years to come.

Dr. Fatih Birol Executive Director International Energy Agency

Acknowledgements

This report was prepared by the Energy Technology Policy Division within the Directorate on Sustainability, Technology and Outlooks (STO) of the International Energy Agency. The study was designed and directed by Timur Gül (Head of the Energy Technology Policy Division).

The analysis was co-ordinated by Araceli Fernandez Pales (lead on end-use modelling and innovation), Peter Levi (lead on industry), Uwe Remme (lead on supply modelling and hydrogen) and Jacob Teter (lead on transport). The main contributors were Thibaut Abergel (co-lead on buildings, decomposition), Praveen Bains (bioenergy), Jose Miguel Bermudez Menendez (hydrogen), Chiara Delmastro (co-lead on buildings, cooling), Marine Gorner (transport), Alexandre Gouy (batteries, recycling), Raimund Malischek (fossil fuels), Hana Mandova (industry), Trevor Morgan (Menecon consulting), Leonardo Paoli (batteries, spillovers, patents), Jacopo Tattini (transport, shipping), Tiffany Vass (industry and material efficiency). Other contributors were Ekta Bibra, Till Bunsen, Elizabeth Connelly, Hiroyoki Fukui, Taku Hasegawa, Pierre Leduc, Francesco Pavan, Sadanand Wachche and Per-Anders Widell. Caroline Abettan, Claire Hilton, Reka Koczka and Diana Louis provided essential support.

Edmund Hosker carried editorial responsibility. Jennifer Allain and Debra Justus copy-edited the report.

The main contributors from across the agency were: Adam Baylin-Stern (carbon capture, utilisation and storage [CCUS]), Simon Bennett (innovation), Niels Berghout (CCUS), Sara Budinis (direct air capture), Pharoah Le Feuvre (bioenergy) Jean-Baptiste Le Marois (innovation policy and metrics), Samantha McCulloch (CCUS), Luis Munuera (technology readiness, spillovers) and Dong Xu (CCUS).

Mechthild Wörsdörfer, Director of STO, provided encouragement and support throught the project. Valuable comments and feedback were provided by other senior management and colleagues within the IEA. Thanks in particular go to Keisuke Sadamori, Laura Cozzi, Nick Johnstone, Laszlo Varro, Joel Couse, Paolo Frankl, Peter Fraser, Tim Gould, Brian Motherway, Sara Moarif, Apostolos Petropolous and Luca Lo Re.

We are also grateful to Jad Mouawad, Head of the IEA Communications and Digital Office (CDO) and the following CDO colleagues for producing and

disseminating this report: Jon Custer, Astrid Dumond, Tanya Dyhin, Merve Erdem, Grace Gordon, Christopher Gully, Katie Lazaro, Jethro Mullen, Isabelle Nonain-Semelin, Julie Puech, Rob Stone, Therese Walsh and Wonjik Yang.

The work could not have been achieved without the support provided by the Japanese Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, and the Netherlands Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Policy.

The analysis and findings in this report draw on strategic guidance, insights and data received during several invaluable IEA events: an *ETP-2020* consultation meeting held in July 2019, a high-level workshop on accelerating energy innovation in December 2019, and a workshop on potential for CCUS technologies held in February 2020. These events formed the foundation from which the revamped ETP publication was developed, as well as its two complementary reports, the *ETP Special Report of Clean Energy Innovation* published in July 2020, and the soon to be released Special Report on Carbon Capture and Storage. The work also benefited from information and views provided by participants within the Technology Collaboration Programme (TCP) by the IEA, which brings together thousands of experts across government, academia and industry from 55 countries in order to accelerate energy technology innovation.

Many experts from outside the IEA provided input, commented on the underlying analytical work and reviewed the report. Their comments and suggestions were of great value. They include:

Nate Aden	World Resources Institute
Patrik Akerman	Siemens
Nour Amrani	FLSmidth
Florian Ausfelder	DECHEMA
Monica Axell	Technology Collaboration Programme on Heat
	Pump Technologies
Russell Balzer	WorldAutoSteel
Chris Bataille	Institut du Développement Durable et des
	Relations Internationales
Chris Bayliss	International Aluminium Institute
Vincent Benezech	Veitch Lister Consulting
Nuno Bento	Instituto Universitário de Lisboa
Thomas Berly	ABT Consulting Pty Ltd
Michel Berthelemy	Nuclear Energy Agency
Christoph Beuttler	Climeworks
Sama Bilbao y Leon	Nuclear Energy Agency
Simon Bittleston	Schlumberger
Herib Blanco	University of Groningen

Markus Blesl University of Stuttgart Nigel Brandon Imperial College Teun Bokhoven Technology Collaboration Programme on Energy Storage Javier Bonaplata ArcelorMittal Jean-Paul Bouttes FDF Keith Burnard Technology Collaboration Programme on Greenhouse Gas R&D Erin Burns Carbon180 Carlos Calvo Ambel **Transport & Environment** Iain Campbell **Rocky Mountain Institute** Isotta Cerri Toyota **Christos Chryssakis** DNV GL **Roberto Cimino** Eni **Christopher Cleaver** Cambridge University James Craig Technology Collaboration Programme on Greenhouse Gas R&D Colin Cunliff Information Technology & Innovation Foundation Yan Da Tsinghua University Oak Ridge National Laboratory Sujit Das Jonathan Davies Joint Research Centre, European Commission Carl de Maré ArcelorMittal Group Sanjiva de Silva Australian Department of Industry, Science, **Energy and Resources** Air Liquide Guillaume De Smedt Agustin Delgado Iberdrola Danielle Densley Tingley University of Sheffield **Mieke Desmet** Sasol Bo Diczfalusy Nordic Energy Research Tim Dixon Technology Collaboration Programme on Greenhouse Gas R&D Francesco Dolci **European Commission** Un Dray University College London Michal Drewniok University of Cambridge John Dulac **European Commission** Jean-Michel Durand The European Association for Storage of Energy Emrah Durusut Element Energy Gabriele Eder Technology Collaboration Programme on Photovoltaic Solar Power Systems Alessandro Faldi ExxonMobil Alexander Farsan WWF International Rodica Faucon Groupe Renault Maria Ferrara Politecnico di Torino Alan Finkel Chief Scientist of the Australian Federal Government Sarah Forbes U.S. Department of Energy

IEA. All rights reserved

Fridtjof Fossum Unander	The Research Council of Norway
Naohide Fuwa	Toyota
Kelly Sims Gallagher	Tufts University
Marta Gandiglio	Politecnico di Torino
Mónica García	Technology Collaboration Programme on
	Greenhouse Gas R&D
Bertrand Gatellier	Institut Français du Petrole Energies Nouvelles
Oliver Geden	Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik
James Glynn	University College Cork
Kirsty Gogan	Energy for Humanity
Thomas Gourdon	ADEME
Brandon Graver	International Council on Clean Transportation
Lukas Gutzwiller	Swiss Federal Office of Energy
Caroline Haglund Stignor	Technology Collaboration Programme on Heat
	Pump Technologies
Jacob Handelsman	American Forest & Paper Association
Stuart Haszeldine	University of Edinburgh
Andreas Hauer	ZAE Bayern
Stéphane Henriot	Institut Français du Petrole Energies Nouvelles
Jonas Helseth	Bellona Europa
Cameron Hepburn	University of Oxford
Antoine Herzog	EDF
Howard Herzog	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Nakamura Hidemi	Taiheiyo Cement Corporation
Neil Hirst	Imperial College
Takashi Hongo	Mitsui & Co. Global Strategic Studies Institute
Kaoru Horie	Honda
Andreas Horn	BASF SE
Edmund Hosker	Hosko Ltd
Yoshito Izumi	Japan Cement Association
Kevin Jayne	U.S. Department of Energy
Henry Jeffrey	Technology Collaboration Programme on Ocean
	Energy Systems
Nigel Jenvey	Gaffney, Cline & Associates
Nicolas Jeuland	Safran
Nils Johnson	Electric Power Research Institute
Hiroyuki Kaneko	Nissan Motor Co.
Goksin Kavlak	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Yoichi Kaya	Research Institute of Innovative Technology for
	the Earth
Jasmin Kemper	Technology Collaboration Programme on
	Greenhouse Gas R&D
Noah Kittner	University of North Carolina
Christian Kjaer	Nordic Energy Research
Tom Kober	Paul Scherrer Institute
Moon-Hyun Koh	Soongsil University

Acknowledgements

European Patent Office Christian Königstein **Daikin Industries** Kazuma Koyama Sunil Krishnakumar International Chamber of Shipping Amit Kumar The Energy and Resources Institute Martti Larmi Technology Collaboration Programme on Clean and Efficient Combustion Marie-Hélène Laurent EDF Arthur Lee **Chevron Corporation** Caroline Lee Canadian Institute for Climate Choices Magnus Lindgren Technology Collaboration Programme on **Advanced Motor Fuels** DNV-GL Tore Longva Claude Loréa Global Cement and Concrete Association Volkmar Lottner Independent Consultant Rui Luo **CEM Secretariat** John McCann SFAL **Claude Mandil** Former IEA Executive Director Claudia Masselli Universita' degli studi di Salerno Eric Masanet Northwestern University Yuko Masuda Daikin Industries, LTD. Egil Meisingset Norwegian Ministry of Petroleum and Energy Ryan Melsert American Battery Metals Yann Ménière **European Patent Office** Olaf Merk International Transport Forum Paul C. Miles Technology Collaboration Programme on Clean and Efficient Combustion Josh Miller International Council on Clean Transportation Roberto Millini Eni Vincent Minier Schneider Electric Philippe Montarnal CEA Simone Mori Enel Group Peter Morris **Applied Energy Solutions LLC Daniel Mugnier** Technology Collaboration Programme on Solar Heating & Cooling Pale Blue Dot Steve Murphy University of California Colin Murphy Kazuhiko Muto New Energy and Industrial Technology **Development Organization** Japanese Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry Manabu Nabeshima Sumie Nakayama **J-POWER** Mara Neef Volkswagen AG International Institute for Industrial Environmental Lena Neij **Economics** Total David Nevicato Tidiani Niass Saudi Aramco Motohiko Nishimura Kawasaki Heavy Industries

Emmanuel Normant	Saint-Gobain
Thomas Nowak	European Heat Pump Association
Makoto Nunokawa	New Energy and Industrial Technology
	Development Organization
Brian O'Gallachoir	Technology Collaboration Programme on Energy
	Technology Systems Analysis Programme
Manuela Ojan	Italcementi Group
Rafael Ortiz-Cebolla	Joint Research Centre, European Commission
Henri Paillère	International Atomic Energy Agency
Dimitrios Papaioannou	International Transport Forum
Luc Pelkmans	Technology Collaboration Programme on
	Bioenergy
Thomas Pellerin-Carlin	Institut Jacques Delors
Magnus Pelz	Scania CV AB
Julien Perez	OGCI Climate Investments LLP
Klaus Petersen	MAN Diesel
Vincent Petit	Schneider Electric
Stefan Petrovic	Technical University of Denmark
Antonio Pflüger	Independent Consultant
Cédric Philibert	Climate and Energy Senior Advisor
Antonio Pires da Cruz	Institut Français du Petrole Energies Nouvelles
Francesco Pomponi	Edinburgh Napier University
Rich Powell	ClearPath
Lynn Price	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Joris Proost	Université Catholique de Louvain
Andrew Purvis	World Steel
Carlo Raucci	University College London
Nicola Rega	CEPI
Stephan Renz	lechnology Collaboration Programme on Heat
	Pump Technologies
Khangele Revombo	South African Department of Energy
Felipe Rodriguez	International Council on Clean Transportation
Ian Rowe	U.S. Department of Energy
Urs Ruth	
Dan Rutherford	International Council on Clean Transportation
Assaad Saab	EDF
Ambuj Sagar	Indian Institute of Technology
Teahiyuki Cakamata	Honda Motor Europe Ltd
	Japanese Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry
Biern Sondén	ADD Chalmara University of Technology
Mani Sarathy	King Abdullah University of Science and
wani Salatiy	Technology
Midori Sasaki	The Federation of Electric Power Companies
Sunita Satvanal	IIS Department of Energy
Sacha Scheffer	Riikswaterstaat
	NjKowatorstaat

Fu Sha EF China **David Shipworth** Technology Collaboration Programme on User-Centred Energy Systems Toshiyuki Shirai Japanese Ministry for Economy, Trade and Industry Maria Sicilia Enagás Noel Simento Australian National Low Emissions Coal Research & Development Ralph Sims Massey University Ottar Skagen Statoil Jim Skea Imperial College Third Generation Environmentalism Ltd Simon Skilling University of Oxford Stephen Smith Tristan Smith University College of London Matthijs Soede **European Commission Robert Spicer** BP Matthias Spoettle NOW GmbH Wil Srubar University of Colorado Maarten Staats **Over Morgan** Markus Steinhäusler Voestalpine AG Bert Stuij The Netherlands Enterprise Agency Tongbo Sui Sinoma International & Simona Research Institute Stig Svenningsen Norwegian Ministry of Petroleum and Engineering Yuichiro Tanabe Honda Ryozo Tanaka Research Institute of Innovative Technology for the Earth Hideaki Tanaka New Energy and Industrial Technology **Development Organization** Nobuo Tanaka The Sasakawa Peace Foundation Peter Taylor University of Leeds Wim Thomas Shell Jessika E. Trancik Massachusetts Institute of Technology Norio Ueda Kawasaki Heavy Industries The Netherlands Ministry of Economic Affairs & Noé van Hulst Climate Policy Mark van Stiphout **European Commission** Antonio Vaya Soler Nuclear Energy Agency **Pierre Verlinden** Amrock Pty Ltd Hans Jorgen Vinje Gassnova SF Julia Walschebauer **European Commission** Luke Warren CCS Association Jim Watson University College London Thyssenkrupp AG Hans-Jörn Weddige Ning Wei Chinese Academy of Sciences **Eveline Weidner** Joint Research Centre, European Commission German Weisser Winterthur Gas & Diesel Ltd

Natural Resources Canada
University of East Anglia
Technology Collaboration Programme on District
Heating and Cooling
Technology Collaboration Programme on
Advanced Motor Fuels
Technology Collaboration Programme on Clean
and Efficient Combustion
Swedish Energy Research Centre
U.S. Department of Energy
MHI Vestas Offshore Wind
Japanese Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry
ACCA21
Technical University of Denmark

Table of contents

Executive summary	23
Introduction	27
Objective	27
What we mean by clean energy technology	27
Scope and analytical approach	28
Structure of the ETP-2020	30
Chapter 1. Clean energy technologies – the state of play	31
Introduction	32
Clean energy technology is a necessity	32
Tackling the climate crisis	33
Clearing the air	34
Enhancing energy security	35
Energy and emission trends and drivers	35
Global energy trends	36
Implications for energy-related CO ₂ emissions	49
What will happen to today's CO2 emissions tomorrow?	54
Emissions from existing infrastructure	54
Age and distribution of the current asset stock	57
References	64
Chapter 2. Technology needs for net-zero emissions	66
Plotting a path to net-zero emissions	67
Energy transition scenarios	68
The path to net-zero emissions in the Sustainable Development Scenario	71
CO2 emission trajectories	71
Energy implications	78
Prospects and readiness of critical low-carbon technology value chains	90
Electrification	94
Carbon capture, utilisation and storage	103
Hydrogen and hydrogen-based fuels	109
Bioenergy	115
References	123
Chapter 3. Energy transformations for net-zero emissions	126
Introduction	127

Power generation	128
Alternative clean fuels	135
Biofuels	136
Hydrogen and hydrogen-based fuels	
Industry	
Transport	153
Buildings	159
Investment implications	167
References	171
Chapter 4. Technology needs for heavy industries	173
Introduction	174
The outlook for heavy industry in the wake of Covid-19	174
Why are emissions from heavy industry "hard to abate"?	177
Industry in a net-zero emissions energy system	
Chemical production	
Sector overview and demand outlook	
Technology pathways towards net-zero emissions	
Readiness and competitiveness of emerging technologies	193
Steel production	
Sector overview and demand outlook	
Technology pathways towards net-zero emissions	203
Readiness and competitiveness of emerging technologies	209
Cement production	215
Sector overview and demand outlook	215
Technology pathways towards net-zero emissions	218
Readiness and competitiveness of emerging technologies	
Bulk materials for construction	
Overview	
Material efficiency pathways towards net-zero embodied emissions	232
References	239
Chapter 5. Technology needs in long-distance transport	250
Introduction	251
Heavy-duty trucking	253
Overview and outlook in the wake of Covid-19	253
Technology pathways towards net-zero emissions	259
Readiness and competitiveness of emerging technologies	
Maritime shipping	

Overview and outlook in the wake of Covid-19271
Technology pathways towards net-zero emissions
Readiness and competitiveness of emerging technologies
Aviation
Overview and outlook in the wake of Covid-19286
Technology pathways towards net-zero emissions
Readiness and competitiveness of emerging technologies
References
Chapter 6. Clean energy innovation
Introduction
Clean energy innovation and the vital role of governments
Successful new ideas pass through four stages eventually
The role of governments and other actors in innovation systems
Covid-19: A threat or an opportunity for clean energy technology innovation?
Global status of clean energy innovation in 2020317
Government R&D funding
Private sector R&D funding
Venture capital
Patenting
Potential impact of Covid-19 on clean energy innovation
Innovation needs in the Sustainable Development Scenario
Timescales in taking technologies from the laboratory to market
Moving down the learning curve
Technology attributes for faster innovation
Clean energy innovation needs faster progress
The Faster Innovation Case – just how far could innovation take us?
Innovation needs in the Faster Innovation Case
Technology needs in the Faster Innovation Case
References
Chapter 7. Making the transition to clean energy359
Introduction
Government targets for net-zero emissions
Implementing strategies towards net-zero emissions
Tackle emissions from existing assets
Strengthen markets for technologies at an early stage of adoption
Develop and upgrade infrastructure that enables technology deployment
Boost support for research, development and demonstration

Expand international technology collaboration	
References	
Annexes	
Regional and country groupings	
Country notes	391
Acronyms and abbreviations	
Units of measure	

List of figures

Figure 1.1	Global total primary energy demand, population and GDP, 1950-2019	36
Figure 1.2	Annual change in GDP, total primary energy demand and energy	
	intensity in selected countries/regions, 2000-19	37
Figure 1.3	Global primary energy demand by fuel, 1925-2019	39
Figure 1.4	Primary demand for low-carbon energy sources, 2000-19	40
Figure 1.5	Reduction in capital cost since 2010 for PV and wind power generation	41
Figure 1.6	Clebel everage energy intensity in celected and use sectors 2000 10	41
Figure 1.6	Global average energy intensity in selected end-use sectors, 2000-19	45
Figure 1.7	Clobal primary approximate share by size segment, 2005-17	40
Figure 1.8	1971-2020	49
Figure 1.9	Global energy-related CO ₂ emissions by fuel (left) and sector (right),	
	2000-19	51
Figure 1.10	Global energy-related CO2 emissions by region	52
Figure 1.11	Global CO ₂ emissions from existing energy infrastructure by sub-sector,	
	2019-70	. 55
Figure 1.12	Typical lifetimes for key energy sector assets	56
Figure 1.13	Age structure of existing fossil power capacity by region and technology	58
Figure 1.14	Age profile of global production capacity for key industrial sub-sectors	60
Figure 1.15	Building stock by year of construction and share of stock that remains	61
Figure 116	Age profile and geographic distribution of road transport vehicles	62
Figure 1.10	Age profile and geographic distribution of aircraft	63
Figure 2.1	Global energy sector CO_2 emissions by fuel and technology in the	
riguic 2.1	Sustainable Development Scenario, 2019-70	72
Figure 2.2	Global energy sector CO_2 emissions reductions by measure in the	72
riguie 2.2	Sustainable Development Scenario relative to the Stated Policies Scenario	
	2019-70	73
Figure 2.3	Global energy sector CO ₂ emissions by sector and sub-sector/fuel in the	
1.9410 2.0	Sustainable Development Scenario, 2040 and 2070	75
Figure 2.4	Cumulative energy sector CO ₂ emissions by region and scenario, 2019-70	78
Figure 2.5	Global primary energy demand by fuel share and scenario, 2019 and 2070	80
Figure 2.6	Change in global final energy demand by fuel and sector in the	
	Sustainable Development Scenario, 2019-70	83
Figure 2.7	Reduction in global steel and cement demand through material efficiency	
	gains by stage in the supply chain in the Sustainable Development	
	Scenario relative to the Stated Policies Scenario in 2070	87

Figure 2.8	Growth in global electricity consumption by sector and scenario and electricity share in total final consumption in the Sustainable
	Development Scenario95
Figure 2.9	Global CO ₂ emissions reductions from electrification by sector in the Sustainable Development Scenario relative to the Stated Policies
	Scenario, 2030-70
Figure 2.10	Global copper and lithium demand by sector and scenario
Figure 2.11	Technology readiness level of technologies along the low-carbon
	electricity value chain
Figure 2.12	Growth in global CO ₂ capture by sector and fuel in the Sustainable Development Scenario, 2019-70
Figure 2.13	Global CO_2 use for fuel and feedstock production in the Sustainable
Figure 2.1/	Technology readiness level of technologies along the CO_2 value chain 109
Figure 2.14	Global hydrogen production by fuel and hydrogen demand by sector
rigule 2.15	in the Sustainable Development Scenario, 2019-70
Figure 2.16	Clobal final anargy demand for hydrograp by sector and share of
Figure 2.10	bydrogen in celected sectors in the Systematic Development Scenario
Eigenera 0 17	Clabel CO, emissions reductions from hydrogen by coster in the
Figure 2.17	Global CO_2 emissions reductions from hydrogen by sector in the
	Sustainable Development Scenario relative to the Stated Policies
F igure 0.10	Scenario, $2030-70$
Figure 2.18	lechnology readiness level of technologies along the low-carbon
E. 0.10	nydrogen value chain
Figure 2.19	Global bioenergy demand by sector and share of bioenergy use in
F: 0.00	key sectors in the Sustainable Development Scenario, 2019-70
Figure 2.20	Global CO ₂ reductions from bioenergy use in the Sustainable
	Development Scenario relative to the Stated Policies Scenario, 2030-70 118
Figure 2.21	Competitiveness of bioenergy for power generation and biofuels, 2050 119
Figure 2.22	Technology readiness level of technologies along the bioenergy value chain
Figure 3.1	Global energy sector CO_2 emissions by sector in the Sustainable
	Development Scenario, 2019-70128
Figure 3.2	Global power generation by fuel/technology in the Sustainable
	Development Scenario, 2019-70129
Figure 3.3	Global CO $_2$ emissions in the power sector by scenario and
	decomposition of the difference by technology type130
Figure 3.4	Electricity generation mix by region, fuel/technology and scenario,
	2019 and 2070
Figure 3.5	Coal-fired electricity generation from existing plants in the Stated
	Policies and Sustainable Development scenarios, 2019-70
Figure 3.6	Role of hydrogen and liquid and gaseous biofuels in the Sustainable
	Development Scenario
Figure 3.7	Global biofuels production by technology in the Sustainable
	Development Scenario, 2019-70
Figure 3.8	Global hydrogen production and demand in the Sustainable
	Development Scenario, 2070140
Figure 3.9	Global hydrogen production by technology in the Sustainable
-	Development Scenario, 2019-70141
Figure 3.10	Global development of electrolyser capacity and CO2 capture
-	from hydrogen by region in the Sustainable Development Scenario,
	2019-70

Figure 3.11	Hydrogen production costs by technology in the Sustainable	111
Figure 0.10	Development Scenario, 2019 and 2050	144
Figure 3.12		140
Figure 2.12	Draioated synthetic karagene production costs from different	140
Figure 5.15	acurace and impact of electricity costs and full lead hours. 2050	1/0
Figure 2.14	Clobal direct CO, omissions in industry by sub-sector and	140
Figure 3.14	clobal diffect CO ₂ effissions in industry by sub-sector and	140
Eiguna 0 1E	Final an array demand by fuel above for total industry and calented	149
Figure 3.15	Final energy demand by fuel shares for total industry and selected	150
F igure 0.10	sub-sectors in the Sustainable Development Scenario, 2019-70	150
Figure 3.16	Global CO_2 emissions in transport by mode in the Sustainable	4 - 4
	Development Scenario, 2000-70	154
Figure 3.17	Global transport sector energy consumption by fuel in the Sustainable	
	Development Scenario, 2019-70	157
Figure 3.18	CO ₂ emissions from the use phase of buildings by sub-sector	
	and region in the Sustainable Development Scenario, 2019-70	160
Figure 3.19	Heating equipment sales share and share of near-zero energy	
	buildings by region in the Sustainable Development Scenario	162
Figure 3.20	Global cumulative CO ₂ emissions reductions in the buildings sector by	
	mitigation lever and technology readiness level in the Sustainable	
	Development Scenario relative to the Stated Policies Scenario,	
	2020-70	164
Figure 3.21	Change in average annual energy-related investment by sector	
	and decade in the Sustainable Development Scenario relative to	
	the Stated Policies Scenario	169
Figure 3.22	Average annual investment in technologies by technology readiness	
	level in the Sustainable Development Scenario	170
Figure 4.1	Production growth in key heavy industries, 2000-30	175
Figure 4.2	Global industrial energy consumption and CO ₂ emissions in the	
C C	Sustainable Development Scenario, 2019-70	180
Figure 4.3	The technology portfolio for reducing direct industrial CO ₂ emissions,	
0	2040 and 2070	181
Figure 4.4	Global primary chemical production by scenario and plastic demand	
	by market segment. 2019-70	185
Figure 4.5	Global chemical sector direct CO ₂ emissions and energy consumption	
	in the Sustainable Development Scenario 2019-70	188
Figure 4.6	Global CO ₂ emissions reductions in the chemical sector by mitigation	
rigure ne	strategy and current technology maturity category 2019-70	189
Figure 47	Global primary chemicals production routes by energy feedstock in the	
riguio 4.7	Sustainable Development Scenario, 2000-70	191
Figure 4.8	Levelised cost of ammonia and methanol production under varving	101
riguie 4.0	techno-economic assumptions	107
Figure / 9	Clobal steel production by region and enduse 2019-70	202
Figure 4.9	Clobal iron and stool soster direct CO ₂ emissions and energy	202
Figure 4.10	concurrentian in the Sustainable Development Scenario, 2010, 70	204
Figure 4 11	Clabel CO, emissions reductions in the iron and steel easter by	204
Figure 4.11	mitigation attrategy and autrent technology maturity actors 2010 70	205
Figure 4.10	Clobal steal production by route and iron production by tarting by	205
Figure 4.12	Global steel production by route and iron production by technology	007
Figure 440	In the Sustainable Development Scenario, 1990-2070	207
Figure 4.13	Levensed cost of steel production for selected production routes	010
	when they reach commercialisation	213

Figure 4.14	Levelised cost of steel production for selected production pathways at varying gas, electricity and CO_2 prices
Figure 4.15 Figure 4.16	Global cement production by region and end use, 2019-70218 Global cement sector direct CO ₂ emissions and energy consumption
	in the Sustainable Development Scenario, 2019-70
Figure 4.17	Global CO ₂ emissions reductions in the cement sector by mitigation strategy and current technology maturity category, 2019-70220
Figure 4.18	Global cement production by technology and material composition in the Sustainable Development Scenario, 2000-70
Figure 4.19	Levelised cost of cement production under varying techno-economic assumptions
Figure 4.20	Decomposition of embodied cement and steel sector CO ₂ emissions in buildings construction, 2000-20
Figure 4.21	Projected year-to-year growth of residential construction activity in 2020 relative to 2019
Figure 4.22	CO ₂ emissions in the buildings and construction value chain in the Sustainable Development Scenario, 2010-70
Figure 4.23	World cement- and steel-related CO ₂ emissions in the buildings construction sector by scenario and driver, 2019-70234
Figure 4.24	Contribution of material efficiency to reducing cumulative cement and steel demand for buildings construction in the Sustainable Development Scenario, 2019-70
Figure 5.1	Global energy consumption and CO ₂ emissions in long-distance transport by sub-sector in the Sustainable Development and Stated
Figure 5.2	Heavy-duty truck fleet and share of road fuel demand 2019 254
Figure 5.3	Share of vehicle sales covered by fuel economy and/or CO ₂ emissions
0	standards by vehicle type and country/region
Figure 5.4	Global CO ₂ emissions from trucks by abatement measure (left)
-	and technology readiness level (right) in the Sustainable Development
	Scenario relative to the Stated Policies Scenario
Figure 5.5	Global neavy-duty trucking energy demand by fuel and average vehicle
Figure 5.6	Heavy-duty truck fleet by powertrain in the Sustainable Development
	Scenario
Figure 5.7	The effect of battery and fuel cell prices on total cost of ownership
Figuro 5 8	Total cost of ownership of beauty duty trucks by low carbon fuel in the
Figure 5.6	Sustainable Development Scenario, 2040 and 2070 269
Figure 5.9	Global freight activity, energy consumption and CO ₂ emissions
	in international maritime shipping by vessel type and fuel, 2019274
Figure 5.10	Global CO ₂ emissions reductions in shipping by mitigation category (left) and technology readiness level (right) in the Sustainable Development
	Scenario relative to the Stated Policies Scenario
Figure 5.11	Global energy consumption and CO ₂ emissions in international shipping
	in the Sustainable Development Scenario, 2019-70
Figure 5.12	Total cost of ownership of hydrogen, ammonia and electric vessels
Figure 5 12	Growth of revenue passenger-kilometres by region 2013-19 280
Figure 5.14	Passenger aviation activity by region in the Sustainable Development
0	Scenario, 2019-70

Figure 5.15	Global aviation fuel consumption in the Sustainable Development	
	Scenario and total fuel use in the Stated Policies Scenario, 2019-70	294
Figure 5.16	Global CO ₂ emissions in aviation by abatement measure (left) and	
	technology readiness level (right) in the Sustainable Development	
	Scenario relative to the Stated Policies Scenario	295
Figure 5.17	Share of flights and fuel use in overall commercial passenger	
	aviation, 2017	300
Figure 5.18	Levelised production costs of sustainable aviation fuels in 2050	303
Figure 6.1	Four stages of technology innovation, feedbacks and spillovers	
	that improve successive generations of designs	314
Figure 6.2	Public energy technology R&D and demonstration spending by	
	IEA member governments by technology, 1977-2019	319
Figure 6.3	Global corporate R&D spending as a share of revenue in selected	
	sectors, 2007-19	320
Figure 6.4	Global early-stage venture capital deals for energy technology start-ups	321
Figure 6.5	Issuance of patents for low-carbon energy technologies in selected	
	countries/regions	323
Figure 6.6	Growth rates for revenue and R&D for selected sectors, 2007-12	326
Figure 6.7	Value and number of global energy-related venture capital deals	
	(early and late stage) by semester and year	327
Figure 6.8	Global energy sector CO_2 emissions reductions by current technology	
	maturity category in the Sustainable Development Scenario relative	
	to the Stated Policies Scenario, 2019-70	328
Figure 6.9	Global CO ₂ emissions reductions by current technology maturity	
	category and sector in the Sustainable Development Scenario	
	relative to the Stated Policies Scenario, 2040 and 2070	329
Figure 6.10	Time to materiality for selected technologies in the Sustainable	
	Development Scenario	333
Figure 6.11	Unit cost reductions for selected technologies in the Sustainable	
	Development Scenario, 2020-70	335
Figure 6.12	Global energy sector CO ₂ emissions by sector, 2019 and 2050	341
Figure 6.13	Period from first prototype to market introduction for selected	
	technologies, including the quickest examples in recent developments	342
Figure 6.14	Contribution to global energy sector annual CO ₂ emissions	
	reductions in 2050 by current technology maturity category	343
Figure 6.15	Selected decarbonisation indicators by scenario in 2050	345
Figure 6.16	Global energy sector annual CO ₂ emissions reductions by type of	
	abatement measure and total primary energy demand, 2050	346
Figure 6.17	Global share of hydrogen and electricity in final energy demand	
	by end-use sector (left) and selected adoption metrics of hydrogen	
	technologies (right), 2019 and 2050	352
Figure 6.18	Global captured CO ₂ emissions by source, 2050	354
Figure 7.1	Share of energy-related CO ₂ emissions covered by national and	
	supra-national public net-zero emissions targets	362
Figure 7.2	Global CO ₂ emissions savings by current technology readiness	
	category in the Faster Innovation Case relative to the Sustainable	
	Development Scenario in 2050	369
Figure 7.3	Governing process for a strategy towards net-zero emissions	371
Figure 7.4	Core target areas for policy instruments to advance a net-zero emissions	0-6
	strategy by technology maturity level	373

Figure 7.5	Global CO ₂ emissions locked in by existing energy-related assets	
	by sector measured against the CO ₂ emissions trajectory of the	
	Sustainable Development Scenario, 2019-70	374
Figure 7.6	Capital cost reductions of selected clean energy technologies	
	at early stages of adoption in the Sustainable Development	
	Scenario, 2019-30	381
Figure 7.7	Global cumulative investment in selected energy infrastructure in the	
	Sustainable Development Scenario	384

List of tables

Table 2.1	Primary energy demand by fuel and scenario (Mtoe)	79
Table 2.2	Primary energy demand by region and scenario (Mtoe)	81
Table 2.3	Final energy consumption by sector, fuel and scenario (Mtoe)	
Table 4.1	Status of the main emerging technologies in the chemical sector	194
Table 4.2	Status of main emerging technologies in the iron and steel sector	210
Table 4.3	Status of main emerging technologies in the cement sector	
Table 5.1	Logistic companies and electric trucks	259
Table 5.2	Status of the main emerging technologies in heavy-duty road freight .	
Table 5.3	Main international regulatory policies covering air pollution and	
	GHG emissions in maritime shipping	275
Table 5.4	Status of main emerging technologies in shipping	
Table 5.5	Status of the main emerging technologies in the aviation sector	
Table 6.1	Energy technology attributes that can favour more rapid innovation	
	cycles or faster learning	
Table 7.1	Government carbon or climate neutral targets by legal status	
Table 7.2	Examples of market-pull policy instruments by targeted group	

List of Boxes

Box 1.1	What does the most recent climate change science tell us?
Box 1.2	The shale revolution?43
Box 1.3	What is the expected impact of Covid-19 on CO ₂ emissions?50
Box 1.4	How technology has cut air pollutant emissions from power
	plants: the US example
Box 2.1	Non-energy sector and non-CO ₂ emissions68
Box 2.2	Energy efficiency benefits in the Sustainable Development Scenario73
Box 2.3	Reduced air pollution in the Sustainable Development Scenario
Box 2.4	The role of consumer behaviour in the clean energy transition
Box 2.5	3D printing as an enabler of lightweighting and reduced material use88
Box 2.6	Assessing technology readiness: The ETP Clean Energy Technology Guide91
Box 2.7	The opportunity of cooling storage and electric vehicles in China
Box 2.8	Impact of the Covid-19 crisis on electricity-based technologies100
Box 3.1	Reducing process emissions and electricity needs in aluminium
	smelting152
Box 3.2	Technologies to reduce electricity and refrigerant needs for
	cooling in the buildings sector

Box 4.1	Accelerating progress in the wake of the Covid-19 crisis: The role of	
	governments in supporting the transition in heavy industry	178
Box 4.2	Iron and Steel Technology Roadmap	200
Box 4.3	How digital technologies can support material efficiency	237
Box 5.1	Types of trucks and their energy and emission implications	256
Box 5.2	Types of ships and their energy and emissions implications	272
Box 5.3	Is the Covid-19 crisis impacting marine regulations?	276
Box 5.4	Making CORSIA work for the climate challenge	
Box 5.5	Who flies and how much?	291
Box 5.6	Trade-offs in making aircraft more fuel efficient	
Box 6.1	Potential negative impacts of Covid-19 on clean energy innovation –	
	Reduced Innovation Case	330
Box 6.2	Spillovers as an important attribute for faster innovation	338
Box 6.3	Key opportunities among technologies at laboratory or small	
	prototype stage	347
Box 7.1	Covid-19 government recovery packages in support of net-zero emission	ons
	targets	364
Box 7.2	Corporate net-zero emission targets	365
Box 7.3	Tracking progress: A key element of net-zero emissions strategies	
Box 7.4	Opportunities to unlock emissions from existing infrastructure	377

Executive summary

Achieving our energy and climate goals demands a dramatic scaling up of clean energy technologies

To avoid the worst consequences of climate change, the global energy system must rapidly reduce its emissions. Calls to reduce global greenhouse gas emissions are growing louder every year, but emissions remain at unsustainably high levels. International climate goals call for emissions to peak as soon as possible and then decline rapidly to reach net-zero in the second half of this century. The vast majority of global CO₂ emissions come from the energy sector, making clear the need for a cleaner energy system. Global CO₂ emissions are set to fall in 2020 because of the Covid-19 crisis, but without structural changes to the energy system, this decline will be only temporary.

Achieving net-zero emissions requires a radical transformation in the way we supply, transform and use energy. The rapid growth of wind, solar and electric cars has shown the potential of new clean energy technologies to bring down emissions. Net-zero emissions will require these technologies to be deployed on a far greater scale, in tandem with the development and massive rollout of many other clean energy solutions that are currently at an earlier stage of development, such as numerous applications of hydrogen and carbon capture. The IEA's Sustainable Development Scenario – a roadmap for meeting international climate and energy goals – brings the global energy system to net-zero emissions by 2070, incorporating aspects of behavioural change alongside a profound transformation in energy system technology and infrastructure.

This report analyses over 800 technology options to examine what would need to happen for the world to reach net-zero emissions by 2050. The report focuses primarily on the Sustainable Development Scenario, but it also includes a complementary Faster Innovation Case that explores the technology implications of reaching net-zero emissions globally by 2050. The analysis seeks to assess the challenges and opportunities associated with a rapid, clean energy transition. The report covers all areas of the energy system, from fuel transformation and power generation to aviation and steel production.

Transforming the power sector alone would only get the world one-third of the way to net-zero emissions

Many governments have ambitious plans for reducing emissions from the energy sector. Some governments have even put net-zero ambitions into law or proposed legislation, while others are discussing their own net-zero strategies. Many companies have also announced carbon-neutral targets. The success of renewable power technologies gives governments and businesses some cause for optimism. But reaching these targets will require devoting far more attention to the transport, industry and buildings sectors, which today account for more than 55% of CO₂ emissions from the energy system.

Spreading the use of electricity into more parts of the economy is the single largest contributor to reaching net-zero emissions. In the Sustainable Development Scenario, final electricity demand more than doubles. This growth is driven by using electricity to power cars, buses and trucks; to produce recycled metals and provide heat for industry; and to supply the energy needed for heating, cooking and other appliances in buildings.

Reaching net-zero emissions in 2050 would require a much more rapid deployment of low-carbon power generation. In the Faster Innovation Case, electricity generation would be about 2.5 times higher in 2050 than it is today, requiring a rate of growth equivalent to adding the entire US power sector every three years. Annual additions of renewable electricity capacity, meanwhile, would need to average around four times the current record, which was reached in 2019.

Electricity cannot decarbonise entire economies alone

Hydrogen extends electricity's reach. On top of the surging demand for electricity from across different parts of the economy, a large amount of additional generation is needed for low-carbon hydrogen. The global capacity of electrolysers, which produce hydrogen from water and electricity, expands to 3 300 GW in the Sustainable Development Scenario, from 0.2 GW today. In order to produce the low-carbon hydrogen required to reach net-zero emissions, these electrolysers would consume twice the amount of electricity the People's Republic of China generates today. This hydrogen forms a bridge between the power sector and industries where the direct use of electricity would be challenging, such as in the production of steel from iron ore or fuelling large ships.

Carbon capture and bioenergy play multifaceted roles. Capturing CO₂ emissions in order to use them sustainably or store them (known as CCUS)¹ is a crucial technology

¹ Our forthcoming *ETP* Special Report on CCUS provides our most in-depth look yet at this critical technology family and its role in reaching net-zero emissions.

for reaching net-zero emissions. In the Sustainable Development Scenario, CCUS is employed in the production of synthetic low-carbon fuels and to remove CO₂ from the atmosphere. It is also vital for producing some of the low-carbon hydrogen that is needed to reach net-zero emissions, mostly in regions with low-cost natural gas resources and available CO₂ storage. At the same time, the use of modern bioenergy triples from today's levels. It is used to directly replace fossil fuels (e.g. biofuels for transport) or to offset emissions indirectly through its combined use with CCUS.

A secure and sustainable energy system with net-zero emissions results in a new generation of major fuels. The security of today's global energy system is underpinned in large part by mature global markets in three key fuels – coal, oil and natural gas – which together account for about 70% of global final energy demand. Electricity, hydrogen, synthetic fuels and bioenergy end up accounting for a similar share of demand in the Sustainable Development Scenario as fossil fuels do today.

The clean energy technologies we will need tomorrow hinge on innovation today

Quicker progress towards net-zero emissions will depend on faster innovation in electrification, hydrogen, bioenergy and CCUS. Just over one-third of the cumulative emissions reductions in the Sustainable Development Scenario stem from technologies that are not commercially available today. In the Faster Innovation Case, this share rises to half. Thirty-five percent of the additional decarbonisation efforts in the Faster Innovation Case come from increased electrification, with around 25% coming from CCUS, around 20% from bioenergy, and around 5% from hydrogen.

Long-distance transport and heavy industry are home to the hardest emissions to reduce. Energy efficiency, material efficiency and avoided transportation demand (e.g. substituting personal car travel with walking or cycling) all play an important role in reducing emissions in long-distance transport and heavy industries. But nearly 60% of cumulative emissions reductions for these sectors in the Sustainable Development Scenario come from technologies that are only at demonstration and prototype stages today. Hydrogen and CCUS account for around half of cumulative emissions reductions in the steel, cement and chemicals sectors. In the trucking, shipping and aviation sectors, the use of alternative fuels – hydrogen, synthetic fuels and biofuels – ranges between 55% and 80%. Highly competitive global markets, the long lifetime of existing assets, and rapidly increasing demand in certain areas further complicate efforts to reduce emissions in these challenging sectors. Fortunately, the engineering skills and knowledge these sectors possess today are an excellent starting point for commercialising the technologies required for tackling these challenges.

Emissions from existing assets are a pivotal challenge

Power and heavy industry together account for about 60% of emissions today from existing energy infrastructure, climbing to nearly 100% in 2050 if no action is taken. Reaching net-zero will depend on how we manage the emissions challenge presented by these sectors' long-lasting assets, many of which were recently built in Asian economies and could operate for decades to come. The situation underscores the need for hydrogen and CCUS technologies. Ensuring that new clean energy technologies are available in time for key investment decisions will be critical. In heavy industries, for example, strategically timed investments could help avoid around 40% of cumulative emissions from existing infrastructure in these sectors.

Governments will need to play the decisive role

While markets are vital for mobilising capital and catalysing innovation, they will not deliver net-zero emissions on their own. Governments have an outsized role to play in supporting transitions towards net-zero emissions. Long-term visions need to be backed up by detailed clean energy strategies involving measures that are tailored to local infrastructure and technology needs. Effective policy toolkits must address five core areas:

- Tackle emissions from existing assets
- Strengthen markets for technologies at an early stage of adoption
- Develop and upgrade infrastructure that enables technology deployment
- Boost support for research, development and demonstration
- Expand international technology collaboration.

Economic stimulus measures in response to the Covid-19 crisis offer a key opportunity to take urgent action that could boost the economy while supporting clean energy and climate goals, including in the five areas above.

Introduction

Objective

The Energy Technology Perspectives (ETP) series has been informing the global energy and environment debate since 2006. Meeting the policy goals of energy security, economic development and environmental sustainability can only be achieved through energy technology development and innovation. Understanding the opportunities and challenges associated with existing, new and emerging energy technologies is critical to improving policy making to meet those goals.

A cleaner and more secure energy sector requires the rapid uptake and use of a wide range of technologies, some of which are still at an early stage of commercial development or deployment, or still at the prototype stage. But technological change takes time: for example, solar photovoltaics (PV) and batteries took decades to be commercialised and become economically competitive. Moreover the evolution of existing and emerging technologies in terms of technical performance and cost is inherently uncertain – the success of PV and batteries was far from assured when they were developed and launched – and that uncertainty increases as we peer further into the future.

The primary purpose of this edition of the *ETP* is to help decision makers in government and industry to meet the challenges of a cost-effective transition to a clean energy system with net-zero emissions, while enhancing energy security and ensuring access to modern energy services for all. *ETP* has evolved to improve its usefulness and relevance; it focuses throughout on exploring the opportunities and risks that surround the scaling up of clean energy technologies in the years ahead. It sets out where the key technologies stand today, their potential for wider deployment to meet energy policy goals, and the opportunities for and barriers to developing selected new technologies in the coming decades. It also looks at how past experiences can help governments design more effective policies to encourage innovation from research and development to market deployment. In addition, using a systems approach it looks at what governments and stakeholders need to do to accelerate the development and deployment of clean energy technologies with a particular focus on those that address multiple policy objectives.

What we mean by clean energy technology

Energy technology refers to the combination of hardware, techniques, skills, methods and processes used in the production of energy and the provision of energy services, i.e. the way we go about producing, transforming, storing, transporting and using energy. It follows that technological change in the energy sector refers to

changes over time in the types of technology that are used at various stages of the energy supply chain. Technological progress results from investment in basic and applied research, and from the development, demonstration and commercialisation of new technologies (see Chapter 6 for a detailed discussion of this innovation process and how to accelerate it).

Clean energy technology comprises those technologies that result in minimal or zero emissions of carbon dioxide (CO₂) and pollutants. For the purposes of this report, clean energy technology refers to low-carbon technologies which do not involve the production or transformation of fossil fuels – coal, oil and natural gas – unless they are accompanied by carbon capture, utilisation and storage and other anti-pollution measures.

The International Energy Agency (IEA) defines low-carbon energy technologies as: renewable energy sources (renewables¹), nuclear power; carbon capture, utilisation and storage (CCUS); hydrogen derived from low-carbon energy sources; technologies that improve the efficiency of energy transformation (e.g. switching from incandescent to light-emitting diode [LED] lighting); other non-fossil power and storage options; and cross-cutting technologies that result in minimal emissions of CO₂ and pollution. Clean energy sources are growing in importance, but they still account for only around one-fifth of energy supply worldwide. In other words, the energy system in its present state is unsustainable.

Scope and analytical approach

The analysis in this report is underpinned by global projections of clean energy technologies derived from the IEA's in-house *ETP* model, a quantitative framework composed of four interlinked modules covering energy supply (production and transformation), and energy use in the buildings, industry and transport sectors (see online documentation of the ETP Model).² Depending on the sector, the modelling framework includes 28 to 40 world regions or countries. The projection period in this report is 2019 to 2070 – ten years beyond the end-point of the previous *ETP* in 2017. The most recent year of complete historical data is 2019, though preliminary data are available for some countries and sectors for the first-quarter of 2020 which accordingly have been used to adjust the projections.

We employ two scenarios to describe possible energy technology pathways over the next half century. The **Sustainable Development Scenario** – the focus in this report – sets out the major changes that would be required to reach the key energy-related

¹ Renewables include bioenergy, though this energy source is sometimes used unsustainably (e.g. if not entirely replaced with replanted biomass) and in an unhealthy manner (e.g. the indoor use of wood for cooking on an open stove).

² www.iea.org/reports/energy-technology-perspectives-2020/etp-model.

goals of the United Nations Sustainable Development Agenda, including an early peak and rapid subsequent reductions in emissions in line with the Paris Agreement, universal access to modern energy by 2030 and a dramatic reduction in energy-related air pollution. The trajectory for emissions in the Sustainable Development Scenario is consistent with reaching global net-zero CO₂ emissions by around 2070.³ The **Stated Policies Scenario** takes into account energy- and climate-related policy commitments already made or announced by countries, including the Nationally Determined Contributions under the Paris Agreement. The Stated Policies Scenario provides a baseline from which we assess the additional policy actions and measures needed to achieve the key energy and environmental objectives incorporated in the Sustainable Development Scenario.

Neither scenario should be considered a prediction or forecast. Rather the scenarios offer valuable insights of the impacts and trade-offs of different technology choices and policy targets and provides a quantitative approach to support decision making in the energy sector and strategic guidance on technology choices for governments and stakeholders. The *ETP* scenarios are broadly consistent with those presented in the 2019 edition of the IEA's flagship publication, *World Energy Outlook (WEO)*⁴, however the time horizon is extended to 2070 to underpin a more technology focussed view of the energy system. As well, the *ETP* scenarios incorporate updated assumptions for gross domestic product (GDP) and energy prices which have been affected with the outbreak of the global Covid-19 pandemic.

This report draws on strategic discussions during an *ETP-2020* consultation meeting with high-level energy officials and experts from government, industry, financial institutions, academia and international organisations on 3 July 2019. In addition from insights, feedback and data obtained at two high-level workshops that the IEA organised in Paris on accelerating energy innovation (18 December 2019) and carbon capture, utilisation and storage (5 February 2020).⁵ It also draws on information and views provided by those who work on the IEA's broad portfolio of Technology Collaboration Programmes, which bring together more than 6 000 experts from key companies and research institutions in 53 countries in order to accelerate energy technology innovation.

³ An additional Faster Innovation Case in Chapter 6 explores the technology innovation needs for reaching net-zero emissions already in 2050.

⁴ *WEO-2019* (IEA, 2019) was released in November 2019. For more details, see: <u>www.iea.org/topics/world-energy-outlook</u>.

⁵ Information about these events can be found at<u>www.iea.org/weo/events.</u>

Structure of the ETP-2020

Chapter 1 reviews the current status of clean energy technologies worldwide and puts the challenges ahead in context, setting out the urgent need to reduce emissions and improve air quality, assessing historic trends in energy technology and discussing the possible implications of the Covid-19 crisis for clean energy technology. It also assesses projected CO₂ emissions from existing energy assets, and their implications for long-term global emissions reductions.

Chapter 2 sets out the key projections for energy and CO₂ emissions in the Sustainable Development Scenario and describes how the energy sector will need to change relative to current trends in order to meet the energy-related United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. It details the technology pathways required to meet those goals, the central role that technologies such as electrification, hydrogen, CCUS and bioenergy could play in the energy transition to net-zero emissions and the current state of readiness of those technologies.

Chapter 3 provides a detailed overview of the technology opportunities in each energy sector stem for the transition to net-zero emissions. It sets out the structural changes required in the industry, transport and buildings sectors together with the developments needed to provide these end-use sectors with low-carbon fuels.

Chapters 4 and 5 delve more deeply into the technological needs in selected energy end-use sectors, sometimes referred to as "hard-to-abate", where cutting emissions substantially is likely to prove particularly difficult because technological solutions do not yet exist or are relatively costly. Many of these are in heavy industry (chemicals, steel and cement) and long-distance transport (maritime shipping, aviation and long-haul trucking). Both chapters identify the technological opportunities, costs and trade-offs involved in the selected areas to achieve net-zero emissions for the energy sector as a whole.

Chapter 6 takes a broad look at energy innovation, pinning down innovation needs in the Sustainable Development Scenario and assessing them relative to progress to date on clean energy innovation. It explores past experiences in bringing new energy technologies to market, identifies potential additional opportunities and looks at strategies for accelerating innovation in clean energy technology. We consider the potential of innovation to support a clean energy transition to net-zero emissions by 2050 in the Faster Innovation Case, which amongst others includes consideration of technologies that are today in the very early stages of development.

Chapter 7 sets out key recommendations for the development of long-term clean energy transition plans, including recommendations dealing with the management of existing CO₂-intensive energy assets, the creation of markets for clean energy technologies at an early stage of adoption, the development of priorities for new clean energy infrastructure and for the development and demonstration of clean energy technologies.